

Your Name

Jose Gutierrez

Your Website and Social Media Presence:

www.jose4mvcc.com

Policy Questions

Please answer the five policy questions below.

Rent Control History and Vehicle Resident History tables are available [here](#) for your reference.

1) Rent Control

Please share your public and private positions on the rent control measures proposed or adopted in Mountain View since 2015 ([see here](#)).

Are you satisfied with current Mountain View rent control policies?

I originally signed on to support Measure V and also was able to have the school district superintendent Ayinde Rudolph endorse the measure as well. When Measure D came out, I supported this, wanting to see earthquake retrofits since my family lived in a complex that needed this repair. But, that was the wrong decision and I learned the hard way that compromise such as that measure is not what I should be fighting for.

Am I satisfied with current rent control policies? No. Why? Because more renters such as members of the mobile home communities should also be included. While working to finalize the housing element plan as a member of the EPC, I asked for the study that was targeting mobile home renters and whether they would be part of rent control to be done sooner and not later. Thinking the original proposal was two-three years from now and I suggested we do it this upcoming year. I was able to have the majority of the EPC agree with that change and it was also approved by the City Council. I did this not because someone asked, I did this because it was the right thing to do and at that moment, if you don't speak up, the chance to make a difference is lost.

2) Vehicle Residents

Street parking of RVs is currently permitted on some streets following settlement of a lawsuit against the City. When the Measure C settlement

ends, how would you support the residents who are currently living in the three miles which the city must allow? [RV parking ordinance history here.](#)

We need to figure out if there is a way to extend the measure with a new ballot proposal. If not, we still should find a partnership within our city or a neighboring city and/or Santa Clara County and figure out if there is space we can use.

3) Safe Parking

Do we need to continue to provide Safe Parking Lots for RVs? [Yes, we need to continue Safe Parking Lots for RVs.](#)

Would you support providing electricity access to RVs at the Shoreline lot so that there would be less need for generator or natural gas use? [Yes, I would support providing electricity access.](#)

4) Displacement

What policies do you favor to help mitigate or prevent displacement? [Current state laws help us with displacement issues when new developments are suggested to replace current housing. Still, we know the high cost of living and the lack of real wages with improved raises for the working class, middle class and in general isn't helping anyone. This is why we need additional transitional housing units, and affordable apartment or home rentals to move into.](#)

What balance are you looking for when it comes to redevelopment and its impact on existing residents? [We can't lose current residents, period. They need to be supported to remain in the community they're a part of. In previous years, not much was done to help those in need when redevelopments happened. Units were lost, no displacement measures were in place, but now we at least have some protections and we need to continue these.](#)

5) Affordability of new and/or existing housing.

What are your suggestions to increase the affordability of new or existing housing?

As a member of the EPC, I helped shape the housing element plan we now have and we were able to get community feedback trying to figure out the best approach for supporting the construction of affordable units in our city. An idea we included are CLTs (Community Land Trusts). This option encourages tenant controlled housing such as cooperatives, condominium projects or single family homes, and multi-family to be acquired as projects to improve or to build new units. We didn't have this option before, but now this gives a chance for community members to seek partnerships for help in driving this type of home ownership and it's a good first step, but we need to do more. We can provide incentives and credits or fees for exceptions, but the reality is we are still at the mercy of what developers bring as proposals. The City staff will also be conducting a study this upcoming year (or so) to figure out what best options we have to encourage this type of housing development.